

57 Cr Cases 2285/2024
STATE Vs. SOHAIL MALIK
FIR No.53/2023

22.04.2025

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Accused in person.

Ms. Vandita Tiwari, ld. counsel for accused.

Supplementary application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for preservation of CDR of IO and to provide copy of un-relied documents.

It was submitted by IO Insp. Sunil Kumar that there are no unrelieved documents in the present case. It was further submitted by the IO that on the page No. 29 of the chargesheet due to typographical error, IPDR is mentioned, however, no IPDR was collected during investigation.

Ld. APP and IO opposed the prayer of applicant/accused to preserve CDR of IO/SI Jyoti as the same is not required in the investigation.

Perusal of the record reflects that one of the allegations against the applicant/accused is that he destroyed data of his mobile phone on 17.05.2023 at the police station when mobile phone was handed over by IO/SI Jyoti to the applicant/accused. In my humble opinion, CDR of IO/SI Jyoti may be a crucial evidence at the later stage of the present case. Hence, CDR with GPS Location of IO/SI Jyoti is directed to be preserved from 07:00 am to 07:00 pm of 17.05.2023 by the IO of the present case. Same be filed in sealed envelope in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the concerned IO for compliance.

Matter be re-listed for arguments on charge on **22.05.2025**.

Copy of this order be given dasti to all concerned.

(Anuj Kumar Singh)
CJM/NDD/PHC/ND
22.04.2025